ROUTINE SCREENING FOR INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE
A GUIDE FOR TRAINERS
The East, Central and Southern African Health Community (ECSA-HC) is a regional inter-governmental health organization that fosters and promotes regional cooperation in health among member states. Member states of the ECSA Health Community include Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Seychelles, Swaziland, United Republic of Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

ECSA-HC was established in 1974 to foster and strengthen regional cooperation and capacity to address the health needs of the member states. Through partnerships with diverse institutions, ECSA’s activities also spread to other countries in Africa to address common health challenges facing the region. The ECSA Health Community works with countries and partners to raise the standard of health for the people of the ECSA region by promoting efficiency and effectiveness of health services through cooperation, collaboration, research, capacity building, policy development and advocacy.
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Global and regional estimates of violence against women released in 2013 by the World Health Organization (WHO), the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, and the South African Medical Research Council confirm that intimate partner violence (IPV) represents the most common form of violence experienced by women worldwide, and that the prevalence of physical and/or sexual IPV in the African region is particularly high among ever-partnered women (at 37%).

To combat this common form of violence, researchers have increased experimentation with clinic-based IPV interventions, coupled with high-impact meetings in the region to explore how IPV screening interventions are being conducted and what lessons can be learned for strengthening these endeavors. There is growing recognition by health providers of the associations between IPV and the health conditions with which their clients present. Furthermore, health providers and their institutions are acknowledging that incorporating IPV screening protocols into their practice could potentially enhance the quality of care for their clients, while reducing provider workloads by helping to more rapidly pinpoint the root cause of many clients’ health problems. In addition, recent research demonstrates the acceptability and feasibility of IPV screening in the region, and showcases the ability of providers play a key role in screening.

Spurred by these contextual realities, in December 2012 Health Ministers from the East, Central and Southern Africa (ECSA) region passed a resolution that calls for the integration of gender-based violence screening into sexual and reproductive health and HIV and AIDS services in ECSA countries, coupled with support for Member States to effect such integration (ECSA/HMC56/R2, Number 5 [ECSA Secretariat] and Number 7 [ECSA Member States]). Operationalizing this resolution will entail a combination of efforts, including developing guidance for service providers on modalities for carrying out screening. This guide represents a key step in this direction to promote momentum in this area.

Given the ECSA Heath Ministers’ resolution on screening for gender-based violence, the ECSA Health Community envisions that this training guide will facilitate the integration of screening protocols in health care settings, thus contributing to the improvement of health sector efforts in the area of gender-based violence response and prevention. Member states are urged to use this guide as an important means of addressing gender-based violence in the region.
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Preface

Routine Screening for Intimate Partner Violence: A Guide for Trainers is the first regional document devoted to building the capacity of providers to respond to intimate partner violence (IPV). The training guide is a follow-on initiative to the 6th Best Practices Forum in Health of the East, Central and Southern Africa (ECSA) Health Community, held in August 2012. This Forum brought to the fore research findings from the region centering on the acceptability and feasibility of IPV screening, and culminated in the passage of a resolution (in December 2012) by Health Ministers that prescribes the integration of gender-based violence screening into health care settings in the ECSA region. This training guide responds to this resolution by providing Member States with a starting point for implementing the proposed integration of gender-based violence screening.

The document has also been developed in recognition of the growing demand for practical materials to guide the introduction of IPV screening protocols into various programs. It is designed to be simple and easily adaptable to various provider types and service provision contexts and goals. First piloted in 2012 within several clinics at the Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya, the training guide is also designed to be brief (a day long), given the impracticalities of withdrawing providers from their work settings for extended time periods.

We anticipate that this toolkit will serve as a valuable resource for a range of service providers who work to prevent and respond to gender-based violence, and we are pleased to invite Member States and other partners to adopt the guide, or to adapt it according to their needs to build capacity and enhance the quality of care in the ECSA region.
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SESSION 1

Who’s in the room?
Welcome and introduction of participants

OBJECTIVES
• To give participants a chance to get to know one another
• To give the facilitator a sense of who the participants are (Are they all from the same department/clinic? Are there a mix of participants from different departments/clinics?)
• To foster an open, relaxed, non-threatening environment for the training session through having participants share a personal memory
• To share the overall objectives of the training session

DURATION
30 MINUTES
(about 1 minute per participant, with an additional 5 minutes built in)

MATERIAL
• Coins from different countries

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Ensure that the total number of coins available for this exercise comes to about twice the total number of expected participants. If finding coins from different countries proves challenging, simply ensure that the available coins are in different denominations and were not all minted in the same year.
• Place one coin in each participant’s package of materials beforehand. Place each coin in the same area in each package for easy retrieval.
• Set aside a coin for your own introduction.
• Ensure that there is representation (among participants) from the GBV clinic to which IPV-positive clients will be referred once screening protocols are in place.
### PROCESS

#### STEP 1

1. Thank participants for making the time to attend the session and explain that a fun/interesting activity will be used to facilitate introductions.
2. Point out that every participant has a coin in his/her package, and let participants know exactly where this may be found.
3. Start by introducing yourself – your name, affiliation, and position. Then, look at the back of your own coin for the year in which the coin was minted. Announce the year to the participants and share one memory you have of that particular year.
4. Ask participants to introduce themselves one after the other in the same fashion: name, affiliation and position (institution and department – if participants were invited from different institutions/departments), year on the back of coin, and one memory from that year.

#### STEP 2

1. Share the overall objective of the training: to sensitize providers on the issue of IPV among their clients, its health implications, and the role that providers can play in addressing it.
2. Emphasize to participants that this is a ‘training and planning’ session – a two-way, interactive process through which the facilitator and the participants learn from one another. While the facilitator provides training on how providers can respond to IPV, providers teach the facilitator about their individual work contexts and how responses can be shaped in these settings to ensure intervention success.

### FACILITATOR NOTES

The training session is designed for no more than 20-25 participants so that the exercises are manageable and to ensure optimal engagement of participants during small-group and plenary sessions. The facilitator is advised to be prepared for surprises. Some common surprises may have to do with the fact that some unanticipated participants may attend the training (e.g., staff from departments that were not invited for the training) – or with the fact that not all memories shared during the introductions will be ‘fun.’ Some coin dates may remind participants of sad events (e.g., the death of a loved one). Be prepared with something brief and appropriate to say. Make mental or written notes of participants’ affiliations and positions, as this will help in rectifying any anomalies with Heads of Department later, and will help in understanding or anticipating group dynamics that may provide opportunities or pose challenges for impending sessions, and for intervention planning.
# Session 2

**Reality Check: Unpacking IPV**

## Objectives

- To help participants subconsciously and honestly examine and reveal their values regarding the sensitive subject of IPV in a non-threatening environment
- To highlight the complexity of IPV and help participants better understand the plight of, decisions made, and barriers faced by survivors
- To help the facilitator ‘diagnose’ the room of participants by getting an overview of common perspectives on IPV represented in the room
- To provide a space for discussing and reinforcing perspectives to promote effective IPV screening, and to address those that may hinder effective screening

## Duration

1 HOUR

## Materials

- Markers (12-25) in different colors
- Ten A2 size posters, each with a different statement about violence printed in a large type (e.g. 60-72 point, depending on the length of the text) (see Appendix A)
- One A0 size poster, with a large picture of a bird in a cage on it, and some space in the margins for writing (see Appendix B; ‘bird-in-a-cage’ pictures are also available from the internet.)
- Sticky tack or masking tape
- Dot stickers (3 packets each in red, green, and yellow)

## Advance Preparation

- Hang up A2 size posters around the room, ensuring that similar statements are not placed right next to each other.
- Hang up A0 size poster in the front of the room (e.g., on the wall behind the facilitator’s standing position).
- Hang up dot stickers (1 packet each of red, green, and yellow) on three different adjacent walls to allow easy access for a moving crowd of 20-25 participants.
- Remove markers from packets and lay out on a table in front of the room.
1. **STEP 1**
   
   Point out to participants that around the room are 10 different statements for which there are not necessarily any ‘right’ or ‘wrong’ answers, and which will be used as part of a warm-up exercise referred to as the ‘Traffic Light’ exercise.
   
   2. Instruct participants to walk round the room, making sure to visit all 10 posters. At each poster, they are to read the statement and decide whether they agree, disagree, or are undecided about it. They should put one sticker on each poster according to their honest opinion: a green sticker for ‘agree,’ a red one for ‘disagree,’ and a yellow one for ‘undecided.’
   
   3. Encourage participants to move quickly from one poster to the next without overthinking their responses. Emphasize that if they are not completely sure of what they think about a particular statement, they should simply put up a yellow dot sticker on the poster concerned.

1. **STEP 2**
   
   1. Draw participants’ attention to the A0 size poster of the caged bird at the front of the room. The poster is captioned with the title, ‘Why Doesn’t She Just Leave?’
   
   2. Explain that the caged bird symbolizes a woman in an abusive relationship. Despite the abuse, she feels trapped within the relationship, much like the bird in the cage. Ask participants to take a moment to think about why this woman does not just leave the relationship. Instruct participants to walk up to the poster, pick up a marker, and write the first answer that comes to mind on the poster. Each participant should write down only one answer on the poster.

1. **STEP 3**
   
   1. Have participants take a minute to look around the room at the 10 A2 size posters and provide an opportunity for them to comment on any striking patterns they see in regard to the colored dots. Use each comment to convey and reinforce messages about the complexity, possible drivers, misconceptions, and consequences of IPV.
   
   2. Participants should be given an opportunity to comment on all 10 posters. Afterward, point out that this exercise is useful in bringing our own biases about IPV to the fore, and that this process is important for providers, as notions about IPV can either hinder or promote effective IPV screening.

1. Draw participants’ attention to the A0 size poster. Read some of the comments written on it. Have participants volunteer to elaborate further on the comments and what they mean. Where possible, use participants’ comments to reinforce messages about the complexity of IPV, and about the fact that a woman experiencing this form of violence often has very legitimate reasons for remaining in the abusive relationship.

4. Wrap up the discussion with the message that the bird in the cage symbolizes many of the women who will be identified through the IPV screening intervention that will be discussed in later sessions of the training, and that, as providers, it is important to understand where these women are coming from, and to care for them with a non-judgmental attitude.
These lively, interactive exercises are best done in a room with enough space available for participants to walk around, view each poster, and access the dot stickers or markers as conveniently and quickly as possible. The facilitator will need to be prepared to highlight one or two observable patterns to get the discussion going before encouraging participants to follow suit. For example, on the A2 size poster with the statement ‘Men are violent by nature,’ there may be a mix of colored dots (e.g., red, green, and yellow) by the end of the exercise. This dot pattern indicates the mixed opinions of the participants about the statement: some believe that men are violent by nature, some do not, and others are not sure. In such a case, the facilitator would point out to participants that if the beliefs in the room on this issue are not resolved, they could have implications for the IPV screening process. A provider who believes that men are violent by nature would not be motivated to screen clients for intimate partner violence – after all, violence is ‘natural’ in such a provider’s mind, and it would therefore be futile to try to respond to it. These are the kinds of discussions that the two interactive exercises are meant to provoke, and these are the kinds of issues that the facilitator must look out for and highlight in order to resolve them through group discussion.
OBJECTIVES
• To move the group discussion from theory to reality by having participants hear a first-hand account of violence from a survivor
• To help underscore the importance of participants’ role as providers (and that of the health sector) in responding to the needs of survivors

DURATION
30 MINUTES

MATERIAL
• Chair (if survivor prefers to be seated)

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Identify a survivor of violence who feels psychologically prepared to share her testimony with a group of providers. Ideally, this should be a survivor who has given a public testimonial before, and finds it therapeutic and/or views doing so as beneficial for drawing attention to the issue. The survivor should also have received psychological counseling to help resolve any trauma-related issues.
• The violence experienced by the survivor does not have to be IPV. It could be some form of non-partner violence.
• The survivor selected should also be one who has sought health care as a result of violence.
• Although a survivor may be willing to give her testimony, and may feel psychologically prepared to do so, trauma counseling should be immediately available on-site to help address any unanticipated psychological consequences for the survivor in the course of (or after) sharing her story.
**PROCESS**

**STEP 1**

1. Inform participants that they are about to have a rare opportunity to hear and learn from a special guest. Explain that the guest will bring to life the issues that the training session has dwelt on all morning.
2. Caution participants about the need to be respectful of and sensitive toward the guest and her testimony.
3. Ask participants to note down the thoughts that come to mind about the role of providers in responding to the needs of survivors. Have them take note of areas where the health system and its providers worked well, and worked less well.
4. Invite the survivor to introduce herself (she may choose to remain anonymous), and to share her story.
5. Ensure the survivor is given a warm welcome both by the facilitator and the audience (e.g., applause).

**STEP 2**

1. Give the speaker 10-15 minutes to share her testimony.
2. Remain alert during the testimony in order to take note of specific parts of the survivor’s story that have an effect on participants.
3. Encourage applause from the participants at the end of the testimony.
4. Ask participants if they have any comments or questions for the survivor or larger group. Emphasize that remarks should not be accusatory or offensive toward the survivor, but should rather focus on helping the group reflect on the health system, their roles as providers, what could have been done differently, or on good practices to be commended.
5. Ask participants what they have learned from the testimony, or what they are taking home from the survivor’s story.
6. If necessary, the facilitator can bring up parts of the story s/he noted down as affecting the participants (see number 2 above) in order to stimulate discussion.
7. Wrap up the session with a statement of appreciation for the survivor’s time, and with further applause.

**FACILITATOR NOTES**

Well in advance, the survivor should be advised on who her audience will be, the role of her testimony in the training session, how the training room will be set up, and all that is expected of her. The survivor should be given the option of participating (anonymously) in Session Two in order to gain a feel for the kind of participants she will be addressing, and to incorporate issues that emerged from the interactive exercises into her testimony. from the interactive exercises during her testimony. The survivor should be prepared to devote 10-15 minutes to sharing her testimony, and another 10-15 minutes to addressing comments/questions from participants.
PART TWO
SESSION 1
IPV: What is it? And how can we help?

OBJECTIVES
• To explore formal definitions of IPV and the rationale for IPV screening
• To introduce the planned IPV screening intervention, and the participants’ role within it

DURATION
15 MINUTES

MATERIALS
• PowerPoint presentation Slides 1 to 6 (see Appendix C)
• Laptop
• Projector
• Hand-out: Copies of presentation slides for each participant

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Amend PowerPoint slides, if needed, to ensure that they capture:
  – the specific kind(s) of violence that providers will be screening for (e.g., ‘gender-based violence’ (GBV) more broadly, or ‘sexual violence’ specifically, etc.). [see Slides 1-6, 7]
  – the specific clinic(s)/department(s) at which the screening will occur, as well as the specific clinic to which referrals will be made after screening, if applicable. [see Slides 6 and 12]
  – the specific referral processes to be used in the intervention (e.g., referrals to a GBV clinic, or no referral if GBV services are not available at the point of screening). [see Slide 6]
• Edit presentation notes, if necessary, to ensure they align with any amended PowerPoint slides
• Become familiar with the presentation notes to ensure a smooth, comfortable delivery.
**PROCESS**

**STEP 1**
1. Beginning from Slide 1, go over each slide with participants.
2. For each slide, refer to the presentation notes (see Appendix C), whenever necessary.
3. After presenting each slide (or during this process), invite participants to share any questions or comments they may have before moving on to the next.

---

**FACILITATOR NOTES**

A PowerPoint presentation is available in the Appendix to guide the facilitation of Part Two of the training session. Each presentation slide comes with comprehensive notes for the facilitator (see the Notes Page on each presentation slide in the Appendix). The slides and notes are meant to be adaptable, and should be edited or adapted according to the planned screening intervention (e.g., screening for sexual and gender-based violence in its most comprehensive form, or screening for physical intimate partner violence only, or screening for non-partner sexual violence only).
SESSION 2
Nuts and bolts: IPV screening and referral

OBJECTIVES
• To explore and collaboratively make plans with participants for the practical side of IPV screening, including how to: ask screening questions and refer such clients for further care
• To shed light on the psychology of some survivors that may prevent immediate disclosure, and on the role of routine screening in making survivors comfortable enough to eventually disclose IPV

DURATION
1 HOUR

MATERIALS
• Laptop
• Projector
• Hand-outs:
  - IPV Screening—Figuring out critical/clinical pathways
  - General tips for IPV screening
  - IPV screening tool/questions

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Amend PowerPoint slides, if necessary, to ensure that they capture:
  – the departments in which IPV screening will occur and to which referrals will be made [see Slides 8 and 12]
  – the modalities to be used for referral, if applicable [see Slide 12]
• Edit presentation notes, if necessary, to ensure they align with any amended PowerPoint slides
• Modify hand-outs, if necessary, to align with the above intentions or processes
• File hand-outs in participants’ training folders in the order in which they are to be used during this session
• Become familiar with the presentation notes and hand-outs to ensure a smooth, comfortable delivery.
**PROCESS**

**STEP 1**

1. Beginning from Slide 7, go over each slide with participants.
2. For each slide, refer to the presentation notes (see Appendix C), whenever necessary.
3. After presenting each slide (or during this process), invite participants to share any questions or comments they may have before moving on to the next.

---

**FACILITATOR NOTES**

As indicated on the cover slide of the PowerPoint presentation, this forum is a ‘training and planning’ session, which implies a collaborative process between the facilitator and the participants, who have expert knowledge about their own clinics/departments, and who therefore have an understanding of the best way to set up a routine IPV screening protocols in their own work contexts. In Session Two, the facilitator is encouraged to foster a participatory atmosphere, guiding participants to consider how screening and referral would work optimally in their settings.

This session involves the use of several hand-outs, which should be reviewed as the relevant presentation slides come up. The PowerPoint presentation notes pages indicate when to draw participants’ attention to each hand-out.
### SESSION 3

This is what it feels like: Role play

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJECTIVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• To provide participants with the opportunity to ‘walk in the shoes’ of key players in the IPV screening process: the client and the provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To provide space for participants to familiarize themselves with the screening and referral process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To improve screening procedures by having participants reflect on the process as actors and observers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DURATION 30 MINUTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Laptop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Projector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paper/note pads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hand-out: IPV screening tool/questions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADVANCE PREPARATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Place a pen and note-pad in participants’ training folders to save time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• File IPV screening tool hand-out in participants’ training folders in the order in which it is to be used during this session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Become familiar with the presentation notes and hand-out to ensure a smooth, comfortable delivery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PROCESS**

**STEP 1**
2. Explain that all participants will be taking part in a role play exercise, and will need to get into groups of 3. Put up Slide 14 (1st bullet).
3. Once groups are formed, explain that each person will get a chance to play three roles: provider, client, and observer. The provider will conduct the actual screening by posing the IPV screening questions to the client; the client will respond to the screening questions; and, the observer will take note of events or processes that the provider and client may not be able to, given their focus on their individual roles. These observations can be written down as they will be shared with the wider group later.
4. Each participant in the groups of 3 should take turns playing each role – i.e., the role of provider, client, and observer.

**STEP 2**
1. Once participants have completed the above process, move the session back into plenary, and ask for any comments or observations anyone may have about the exercise.
2. After preliminary comments and observations have been addressed, put up Slide 14 (2nd bullet) to generate specific discussion on how participants felt playing each role.
3. Discuss and collaboratively address any issues related to:
   a. discomfort in asking the questions, and the role of the preamble to the screening questions in reducing potential discomfort for providers and clients alike
   b. discomfort in being asked the questions, and what this means for provider attitudes and presentation of the questions
   c. any other observations that observers and other role-players may have, such as areas of improvement for providers asking the questions; possible issues that could arise as a result of how clients responded to the questions, and how these can be addressed; any issues concerning the translation of the screening questions into the local language (if applicable), etc.

**FACILITATOR NOTES**
It should take about 10-15 minutes for the small-group exercise to take place. The facilitator may find it useful to spend this time by walking around the room to unobtrusively observe and listen to various groups in order to derive some talking points for the plenary session. These can be used to stimulate discussion during the plenary session, if necessary.
## Session 4

**Discovery:** Getting to know your GBV clinic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OBJECTIVES</strong></th>
<th><strong>DURATION</strong></th>
<th><strong>MATERIALS</strong></th>
<th><strong>ADVANCE PREPARATION</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • To provide participants with the opportunity to visit a GBV clinic (on-site, if available; off-site, if not)  
• To create awareness among participants of available GBV services  
• To assure participants that the needs of clients identified through screening can and will be addressed once referrals are made | 1 HOUR (could be shortened to 30 minutes if the GBV clinic is on-site) | None | • Schedule this visit with the head or in-charge of the GBV clinic, explaining the purpose of the visit (see Objectives in the first column)  
• Ask the head or in-charge of the GBV clinic to be prepared (or to prepare staff) to walk participants through the site, and to respond to a few questions from participants about the services offered to survivors |
**PROCESS**

**STEP 1**
1. Explain the purpose of the visit to participants
2. Before embarking on the visit, alert participants to the need to be respectful of any survivors who may be present (e.g., in waiting areas) during the visit, by avoiding direct eye contact, as well as any communication
3. Emphasize the total amount of time allocated for this visit, in order for participants to be succinct with any comments or questions they may have for GBV clinic staff during the visit

**STEP 2**
1. After the visit, a few minutes may be used for further discussion of any barriers that participants feel survivors may face with referrals (i.e., getting to the GBV clinic) and how they can be addressed.

**FACILITATOR NOTES**

For various reasons, providers are often not aware of GBV services available, even when offered within their own work setting. Therefore, for many participants, this training session will be their first opportunity to visit and learn about a GBV clinic. The visit is important, as participants often wonder what happens to survivors after they are referred for further care. The visit can help reassure providers that services and competent staff are available, and that screening is an entry point to receiving these benefits. The specific GBV clinic to which survivors are to be referred should serve as the visit site for this session.

This session was designed on the assumption that an on-site GBV clinic would be available for survivors to be referred to. In fact, the original training sessions which led to the development of this manual took place in a seminar room within the Gender-Based Violence Recovery Centre at Kenyatta National Hospital. This location helped ensure that walking through this GBV clinic, and giving participants a chance to ask questions, took no more than 40 minutes. This exercise can easily be adapted for off-site GBV clinics, however, if survivors are to be referred to such sites after screening. In this case, the facilitator might consider moving the timing of this particular session to the very end of the training, as the visit (coupled with transportation time) would most likely take an hour or more.

Because of the assumption that the GBV clinic associated with the screening intervention will be on-site, the text box above indicates that no materials are needed. However, if the GBV clinic is not on-site, transportation may be required, and will thus need to be arranged in advance.
SESSION 5
Planning to act: Developing an action plan for routine IPV screening

OBJECTIVES
• To provide space for participants to reflect on the following within their clinics/departments:
  – existing resources within that could be used to support the IPV screening process
  – potential barriers to the screening process
  – overlooked personnel who would be an asset to the screening process, if trained (or in some other capacity beyond actual screening)
• To collaboratively find solutions (through plenary discussions) to barriers and other issues identified
• To underscore the fact that actual routine IPV screening will be commencing in their clinics/departments, and the importance of preparing their individual work contexts for this intervention as a result

DURATION 45 MINUTES

MATERIALS
• Pens
• Hand-out: Action plan worksheet

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Place a pen in participants’ training folders to save time
• File action plan worksheet hand-out in participants’ training folders in the order in which it is to be used during this session
• Become familiar with the presentation notes and hand-out to ensure a smooth, comfortable delivery
This session was designed on the assumption that providers from different clinics will be trained together. Should this not be the case, the entire session can simply be held as a plenary session. It should take about 15 minutes for the worksheet completion portion of the exercise to take place. The facilitator may find it useful to spend this time listening in unobtrusively on participants’ small group sessions or conversations. Important issues gleaned from this process can be used to stimulate discussion during the plenary session, if necessary. As participants report back from their groups (or from the plenary session), take detailed notes on any important observations that arise. These observations, coupled with participants’ completed action plan worksheets, can be referred to later to help in finalizing planning for the screening intervention.
SESSION 6
What we’ve learned and how it went: Closing

OBJECTIVES
• To gain an understanding of what participants found most valuable about the training session
• To collate participants’ opinions and observations about the training session in order to inform and help improve future training sessions

DURATION
30 MINUTES

MATERIALS
• Pens
• Hand-out: Evaluation form

ADVANCE PREPARATION
• Place a pen in participants’ training folders to save time
• File evaluation form hand-out in participants’ training folders in the order in which it is to be used during this session
• Become familiar with the hand-out to ensure a smooth, comfortable delivery
Write participants’ observations of the one thing they are taking away from the session, as well as any other reflections shared during this exercise. These observations can be used to inform future training sessions. Be sure to take note of, and share, what you have learned during the session.

Inform participants of when exactly the routine IPV screening intervention will commence in their clinics/departments, if possible.
APPENDICES
Appendix A: Traffic light exercise

Print out each of the statements on the next 10 pages on A2 size paper.

Examples:
Men are violent by nature
Sometimes violence is a way of showing affection
Boys who witness their father’s violence toward their mother are more likely to be violent when they grow up.
A woman should put up with violence in order to keep her family together
Some women like to be beaten
Violence against women exists in every society in the world
Nobody deserves to be raped
Violence is never justified
Nobody deserves to be beaten
Girls who are sexually abused in childhood are more likely to drink and use drugs when they’re older.
Appendix B: Caged bird exercise

Print out the next page on A0 size paper.
WHY DOESN'T SHE JUST LEAVE?
Appendix C: PowerPoint Presentation Slides (with notes pages)
PART TWO

Routine screening for IPV

Provider Training & Planning Session
[insert name of institution]
[insert training date]

Notes:

Emphasize to participants that the day’s session is a ‘training and planning’ session. It is designed to be an interactive session geared toward mutual learning: The trainer, on the one hand, will build participants’ capacity to screen for IPV. The participants, on the other hand, will lend their expertise toward planning for IPV screening in their individual departments/settings (as they are likely to be better-versed in how their work contexts operate than the trainer).

Session One

Intimate Partner Violence

What is it, anyway – and how can we help

Notes:
IPV: What is it, anyway?

Historically called ‘domestic violence,’ ‘intimate partner violence’ describes physical, sexual, or psychological harm by a current or former intimate partner or spouse. This type of violence can occur among heterosexual or same-sex couples.

National Institute of Justice
http://www.nij.gov/topics/crime/intimate-partner-violence/welcome.htm

Notes:
Give participants a minute to reflect on the definition of IPV.

Ask participants what first comes to mind when they think of the term ‘domestic.’ Invariably, a participant will mention that they think of a house/home. Explain that, for this reason, some experts argue that ‘intimate partner violence’ is a better term than ‘domestic violence.’ The latter sometimes leads to the assumption that this type of violence only happens among people who actually live together (typically, married or co-habiting couples), thus leaving out young people who may not be co-habiting, and ex-partners who may still be abusive after a relationship is over.

Point out that IPV can also include economic violence/abuse, which is rarely talked about, but which is an important form of IPV from the perspective of survivors. Economic violence is a form of abuse that involves one intimate partner having ‘control over the other partner’s access to economic resources, which diminishes the victim’s capacity to support him/herself and forces him/her to depend on the perpetrator financially’ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic_abuse.

The definitions of all the kinds of IPV mentioned on the slide can be found at the link on the slide.

Routine screening for IPV

One of “the most important contributions [providers] can make to ending abuse and protecting the health of its victims is to identify and acknowledge the abuse.”

(Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs, A.M.A, 1992)

Notes:
Read the quote out loud.

Point out to participants that routinely screening for IPV (rather than not asking about abuse unless symptoms are evident) is one way of identifying this kind of violence, protecting the health of survivors, and making an important contribution as providers.

Highlight the fact that this statement was written in 1992 by the American Medical Association. This means that our settings in sub-Saharan Africa are comparatively far behind when it comes to the practice of routine screening, and to recognizing its utility.

Ask participants to estimate how many of the clients they see each week/month might be experiencing IPV, from what they can observe. Then have them reflect on how many more clients would be identified and connected to care if they were proactively asked about IPV at each visit.
IPV screening: Why bother?

- IPV too common, too serious to remain unidentified
- Inquiring about obvious indications of violence no longer considered sufficient
- Can lead to early detection
- Provision of optimal care warrants that clients be asked routinely
- It’s a preventive measure
- Etc.

Notes: This slide presents the rationale for implementing IPV screening protocols.

Bullets 1-3: We now know that IPV is the most common form of violence experienced by women globally [the specific figures for the country concerned can also be inserted on the slide]. Given the serious health consequences associated with IPV, there is growing recognition in the region that providers must be proactive about identifying IPV survivors as soon as possible. It is no longer enough to only ask clients these kinds of questions when there are clear, physical signs that they have experienced IPV. The health consequences provoked by IPV make it critical for survivors to be identified or for IPV to be detected as early as possible. Routine IPV screening can help with this.

Bullet 4: IPV screening is also increasingly acknowledged as a quality of care issue. As IPV can often be the root cause of a client’s health issue, not inquiring about it under mines the quality of care offered to the client.

Bullet 5: Routine screening for IPV can serve as a preventive measure against this form of violence. The very act of screening routinely conveys a message to clients that experiencing this form of violence is abnormal and has health consequences. Similar to routinely taking a client’s blood pressure (which conveys a message about the danger of one’s blood pressure becoming elevated), routine screening for IPV conveys a message about the danger of this experience, and about the need to seek care as a result.

Bullet 6: These are just some of the reasons why we need to bother about IPV screening. There are many more reasons which time may not permit us to delve into.

What we want to achieve

The routine screening of every female client who seeks services at the [name of screening site]

The successful referral to the GBV clinic of as many IPV survivors identified as possible

Notes:

Read out and elaborate, if necessary.
Session Two

Nuts and Bolts
IPV Screening and Referral

Remembering to screen

• Posters in screening sites to remind providers to screen (“Have you asked?”)

• Bright color on screening tool as a further reminder about routine inquiry

• Protocols or algorithms clearly describing appropriate identification and referral within each department

Notes: One major barrier to screening is actually remembering to screen.
Bullet 1: To support providers in the screening process, each provider carrying out IPV screening will have a simple A3 poster placed on the wall above their desk, with the following unobtrusive statement on it: ‘Have you asked?’
Bullet 2: Draw participants’ attention to the screening tool in their training folders. Point out that the screening tool is framed in bright red as another means of reminding providers to screen clients routinely.
Bullet 3: To encourage routine screening, it is also important to have an algorithm that clearly helps providers remember the steps to be taken to prepare each screening site for the exercise, and to ensure privacy during actual screening.
Direct participants to the ‘IPV screening: Figuring out critical/clinical pathways’ hand-out in their folders. This document is left intentionally in draft form as each screening context will differ, and providers will have to decide how IPV screening will occur. Use this opportunity to review the hand-out with providers in the same department (if providers are from more than one department, put them in department-specific groups to encourage group thinking on what the clinical pathways should be).
Discuss with participants: 1) where clients can realistically be screened with complete privacy in their individual clinics/departments (this will serve as the ‘departmental room’ mentioned in the draft IPV screening algorithm); and 2) which cadre of providers in their clinics/departments will realistically be able to conduct routine screening.
Remembering to screen: An important reminder

“One woman, admitted to a trauma unit for serious injuries that resulted from battering, told [an IPV] advocate that it was only after her physician had asked her about abuse every day for six days that she felt safe enough to tell him what had happened. It took his repeated inquiry to convince her that he must really care and that she could trust him. She said that if he hadn’t asked that last time, she probably would never have told him.”


Screening

• Screening appropriately: General tips for IPV screening

• What if a survivor doesn’t self-identify?

“An abused woman is in a process. She will move through that process when she has sufficient strength and safety to take that next step. It is important to accept where she is in that process, even though you may not agree with her decisions and may fear for her safety’ (Hadley, 1992). This has been the most difficult concept for both health care providers and advocates to learn and incorporate.”

Notes:
Routine screening for IPV might sometimes seem far too ‘routine.’ Providers may sometimes wonder if routine screening is ‘worth it’ if they do not identify as many clients as they would like through screening. However, success in routine screening should be defined (as least partly) by a provider’s ability to screen routinely, regardless of the outcome.

Give participants a minute to absorb the quote on the slide. Emphasize that a survivor may not necessarily be ready to disclose violence on the first day she is asked about it. This is all the more reason why routine screening is important. If a provider gives up on routine screening and refrains from inquiring, this will amount to missed opportunities for the clients who are finally ready to disclose on that particular day.

Notes:
Bullet 1: Use the following questions to generate discussion among participants: What qualities or characteristics should a provider possess in order to carry out IPV screening effectively? What qualities or characteristics should the location of IPV screening have in order for this exercise to be carried out effectively? Draw participants’ attention to the ‘General Tips for IPV Screening’ handout in their training folders. Walk through the handout with participants, highlighting the points that participants already pointed out independently during the discussion. Then, highlight other points which participants did not mention, perhaps asking how these point relate to their own work contexts.

Bullet 2: Give participants a chance to read the second bullet and comment on it. Reiterate that ‘success’ with regard to routine screening for IPV can be viewed in several ways. From a provider’s perspective, success should be measured by (among other things) a provider’s ability to properly and consistently screen clients, and refer them for care as needed. It will take time for some clients to open up to providers, hence the need for screening to be carried out routinely. Providers should not feel discouraged if, despite their best screening efforts, few clients are identified – particularly in the early days of any IPV screening exercise.
Introducing the screening tool

- Screening tool color(s)
- Screening preamble
- Screening questions
- Defining ‘IPV-positive’
- Referral section of the screening tool

Bullet 1: Ask participants to pull out the IPV screening tool from their training folders. Point out that the bright color is deliberately chosen to help remind providers to screen, as mentioned previously.

Bullet 2: Have participants review the screening preamble. Ask participants why they think this preamble is particularly important. After some discussion, emphasize that the preamble: 1) helps ensure that clients do not feel individually ‘targeted’ by the new IPV screening protocol, but are helped to understand that all clients are now being asked these questions; 2) helps clients understand that violence is a health issue that needs to be identified and addressed as part of their overall health situation; 3) helps clients feel comfortable with the screening process, as it informs them that violence is common and that help for it is available; and 4) helps address any concerns about confidentiality, given the sensitivity of the questions.

Bullet 3: Give participants a chance to review the screening questions to make sure they are clear to the providers, and that providers feel that they would be clear if posed to clients. This process may result in the screening questions being collaboratively tweaked by providers to ensure that they are as intelligible as possible for clients.

Bullet 4: For the purposes of the IPV screening exercise, a client is to be considered as IPV-positive if she answers ‘yes’ to any of the screening questions posed.

Bullet 5: The client’s answers to each question should be ticked (whether ‘yes’ or ‘no’). If a client answers ‘yes’ to any one of the questions, they should not be asked the questions again. They should be given a referral that day, and the date of this referral should be noted in the space provided.

---

Referral

- GBV clinic contact info in all screening sites
- The use of client accompaniment services
  - Ensuring clients’ rights are respected, while keeping the referral process convenient for those who are amenable
- The process of receiving referrals at the GBV clinic
  - IPV clients to be given first priority?
  - Emergency counseling coupled with a later date appointment?

Notes:
After screening and identifying an IPV-positive client, refer the client for gender-based violence (GBV) services. In most health facility contexts, referrals are made by using a referral slip that indicates where the client was referred from, on which date, where the client is being referred to, and why. This process can be used to facilitate IPV referrals as well. In addition, there are other components that can be incorporated to help enhance the referral process, especially given the sensitivity of IPV. Have participants talk through what would work for their individual contexts, based on the points below:

Bullet 1: All sites where IPV screening is occurring, and all providers conducting such screening should have the phone number of the GBV clinic where clients are being referred readily available. In some contexts, departmental phone numbers may be harder to get through to, or may simply be out of order. If so, mobile numbers can be used instead, if this is acceptable. Having such contact numbers helps ease the referral process: for example, the initiating department can place a call to the receiving department to alert them that a survivor has been referred and is on the way. Or, survivors who may not have the time to head to the GBV clinic right away can make a phone call later to set up an appointment.

Bullet 2: Clients should be accompanied by someone from the initiating department to the receiving department to ensure clients are not lost during the referral process. Health facilities often have resources that could be tapped into for this purpose. Students in training, interns, auxiliary workers, etc., can step in to play this role. Once identified, this cadre of health facility affiliates should be sensitized on GBV issues before playing a survivor accompaniment role. It is also important to emphasize that some clients may not want to be escorted, and that they are within their rights to decline this service.

Bullet 3: A discussion about what will realistically happen to clients once they get to the GBV clinic is also important. Will these clients be given first priority? Is it more realistic to expect that they will receive some sort of emergency counseling and are then given a later appointment (i.e., if there are no health implications) for more comprehensive care? Slide 13 will help delve further into what the GBV clinic concerned can realistically provide.
Session Three
This is what it feels like
Role play

Screening Role Play & Discussion

• In groups of 3: The roles of a provider, client, and observer are to be issued to group members. All 3 group members (especially the observer) are to take note of anything remarkable about the screening process.

• How did you feel ...:
  – as a provider, asking these questions?
  – as a client, being asked these questions?
  – What did you notice, as an observer, about the process?
  – Other observations?

Bullet 1: Ask participants to get into groups of 3. Each group should select a ‘provider,’ ‘client,’ and ‘observer’ for the role play exercise. The provider asks the client the screening questions. The client responds to the screening questions. The observer notes down their impressions about how the process went. The provider and client should also make mental notes of what it feels like to be in the position of a provider or client during an IPV screening exercise. Group members should take turns until every member of the group has had a chance to play the role of either a provider, client, or observer.

Bullet 2: Bring the groups back into plenary, and have participants reflect on how they felt being in the positions of provider and client. Participants should also share their observations about the screening process.
Session Four

Discovery

Getting to know your GBV clinic

Notes:

Session Five

Planning to act

Developing an action plan for routine IPV screening

Notes:

Ask participants to group themselves according to their clinics/work sites (if applicable).

Draw participants’ attention to the Action Plan for IPV Screening Worksheet in their training manuals. Walk them through the worksheet, explaining that each clinic/work site now needs to prepare for the actual introduction of routine IPV screening in their setting. The worksheet is meant to help them think through the resources (human and otherwise) that their work contexts have for supporting routine IPV screening and the potential barriers to this process in their individual work settings. Group members should hold discussions on each section of the worksheet and work collaboratively to fill it out.

Bring participants back into plenary and have a representative for each group summarize the group discussions. Feedback from this first group may raise interesting and pertinent issues and ideas related to the realities of screening which subsequent groups can also speak to. Potential barriers to screening can be resolved by the wider group.

Remember to gather all the worksheets at the end of the exercise. They can be referred to once routine IPV screening begins in order to retrieve names of influential staff members, staff members who should be part of the next training session (if any), and solutions to barriers as they come up during screening program implementation.
Session Six
What we’ve learned and how it went
Closing

Notes:
Ask participants to retrieve the evaluation form from their training folders and to kindly fill it out. Gather all the evaluation forms at the end and use participants’ comments to inform future training sessions.

Acknowledgment
Some of the material used in this presentation was adapted from the publication entitled, “Improving the Health Care System’s Response to Domestic Violence: A Resource Manual for Health Care Providers,” produced by the Family Violence Prevention Fund in collaboration with the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence. Written by Carole Warshaw, M.D. and Anne L. Ganley, Ph.D., with contributions by Patricia R. Salber, M.D.

Notes:
Make some comments about next steps (e.g., when participants can expect routine IPV screening to begin in their work sites).

Thank participants for their time and contributions.
Appendix D: Hand-outs

Hand-out #1: Training and Planning Agenda
Hand-out #2: IPV Screening: Figuring out Critical/Clinical Pathways
Hand-out #3: General tips for IPV screening
Hand-out #4: IPV screening tool
Hand-out #5: Action plan worksheet
Hand-out #6: Evaluation form
Session One (9:00-9:30 am)

Who's in the Room? Welcome & Introduction of Participants

- 1 minute per participant. Each individual will state their name, department, and provide a 'coin memory.'

Session Two (9:30-10:30 am)

Reality Check: Unpacking IPV

- Through interactive exercises, participants will reflect on their own values related to IPV, confront the complexity of IPV, and strengthen perspectives to help promote effective IPV screening.

Tea Break (10:30-10:45 am)

Session Three (10:45-11:15 am)

Testimonial: A Survivor's Story

- A survivor will present her real-life experiences with the health care system, including what the system could have had in place, or done differently, in order to better serve her needs.
PART TWO

Session One
IPV: What Is It, Anyway – And How Can We Help? (11:15 am-11:30 am)
• An overview of IPV, including definition, dynamics, and the rationale for routine screening, will be provided.

Session Two
Nuts & Bolts: IPV Screening and Referral (11:30 am-12:30 pm)
• The clinical skills that health providers need to develop in order to work more effectively with IPV survivors, including screening and referral, are to be addressed.

Session Three
This Is What It Feels Like: Role Play (12:30 pm-1:00 pm)
• Practical application of routine screening for IPV to prepare providers to be comfortable with screening and to make their clients comfortable, too.

Lunch Break (1:00-1:45 pm)

Session Four
Discovery: Getting to Know Your GBV Clinic (1:45 pm-2:45 pm)
• A visit to the GBV clinic to which clients are to be referred. Providers will learn about available GBV services and the process that those they refer will go through at the GBV clinic.

Session Five
Planning to Act: Developing an Action Plan for IPV Screening (2:45-3:30)
• Providers from each hospital site will spend time developing (and then, reporting back on) an initial action plan for implementing their department’s response to IPV clients.

Session Six
What We’ve Learned and How It Went: Closing (3:30-4:00 pm)
• Each participant will briefly highlight one thing that they will take away from the training-planning session, or to share any closing reflections they may have. Each participant will complete and submit a simple, anonymous evaluation form.

Hand-out #1 (continued)
IPV Screening: Figuring Out Critical/Clinical Pathways

Client comes to [name of dept]
Client registers
Client is triaged
Client is taken to departmental room [which?]
Friends and family are asked to leave the room
Provider [which?]
Client identifies self as having experienced IPV

Client does not identify self as having experienced IPV
Referred to GBV Clinic

Hand-out #2
GENERAL TIPS FOR INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE (IPV) SCREENING

- Screen for IPV only when you have privacy with the client. Be sure you are away from other family or friends who may be accompanying the client.
- As with other sensitive issues, screen for IPV only after you have established an initial connection with the client.
- Screen for IPV only when you have physically with the clients.
- Use professional interpreters or other health care providers.

This hand-out was adapted from pages 134-138 of the publication entitled, Improving the Health Care Response to Domestic Violence: A Trainer’s Manual for Health Care Providers, produced by the Family Violence Prevention Fund. The primary author is Anne L. Ganley, Ph.D., with contributions by John Fazio, Lisa James, M.A., and Anita Ruiz-Contreras, R.N., M.A.S.N., C.E.N.
1. Are you currently in a relationship with a person who physically hurts you?  
   Yes _____  No _____

2. Are you currently in a relationship with a person who threatens, frightens, or insults you, or treats you badly?  
   Yes _____  No _____

3. Are you currently in a relationship with a person who forces you to participate in sexual activities that make you feel uncomfortable?  
   Yes _____  No _____

SCREENING TOOL FOR INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE (IPV)

Many people do not realize that violence can lead to all kinds of health problems. Because violence is so common in many women’s lives, screening will be conducted by making the following statement:

IPV screening will be conducted by making the following statement:
Hand-out #5
Action Plan Worksheet: Routine Screening for IPV

**ALLIES**
• Who else within your department will be supportive and helpful in the routine screening effort?
• Which of them would you recommend for training on IPV screening?
• What specific roles could they play after training (e.g., screening, client accompaniment, etc.)?

[Indicate names and positions (include yourselves) and specify strengths!]

**OPPOSITION**
• Who within your department might attempt to obstruct the screening process?
• How should the situation be addressed?

**STRENGTHS WE CAN USE**
• Strengths in your department that can be used to promote successful screening & referrals (e.g., space for confidential screening; volunteers for client accompaniment; # of staff able to screen, etc. – anything you can think of!)

[Which of them are you recommending for training?]

[Tags: Think of anything you can to screen, etc. – # of staff able to screen, client accompaniment; volunteers screening; counseling; referral space for successful used to promote that can be your department strengths in]

[Tags: Addressed situation be. How should the screening process? To obstruct the might attempt department who within your]

[Tags: What specific roles could they play? Training on IPV recommended for which of them would you? Which of them effort? Routine screening and helpful in the will be supportive your department who else within]

[Tags: Indicate names and positions (include yourselves) and specify strengths!]
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1. What I found the most useful about today’s session on IPV screening

2. What I found the least useful about today’s session on IPV screening

3. What I recommend that the trainers do differently during the training session for the next group of providers to be trained

4. Any other comments (optional)