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Girls and women in Bangladesh have benefited from rapid social change in the past two decades. Girls surpassed boys in primary-school completion in the 1990s, fertility levels were halved from six births per woman to fewer than three, and one-third of married women belonged to self-help and credit groups. Yet despite this progress, the majority of adolescent girls in Bangladesh still find their lives constrained by the custom of early marriage. According to recent research, 68 percent of women aged 20–24 were married before the legal minimum age of 18 (Amin et al. 2006). Married adolescent girls were socially isolated, they had fewer friends and reported feeling vulnerable, and they had little knowledge of health risks and sexuality.

“Adolescent Girls’ Adventure” introduces livelihoods opportunities

In 2001, UNICEF initiated a pilot intervention to test whether livelihoods opportunities could ameliorate the situation of early marriage and other adverse outcomes for girls in rural Bangladesh. “Kishori Abhijan” (Adolescent Girls’ Adventure) aimed to lower school dropout rates, increase girls’ independent economic activity, and raise the age at which girls marry. Life-skills training consisted of enhancing self-esteem and leadership skills and providing education related to gender roles and discrimination, health and nutrition, and legislation and legal rights, particularly early marriage and girls’ and women’s rights. Livelihoods training included specific vocational skills such as poultry care, handicrafts, sewing, photography, and teacher training.

The intervention, conducted over three years in 14 rural districts, was a joint effort of UNICEF, the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS), the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC), and the Centre for Mass Education in Science (CMES). The two local nongovernmental organizations, BRAC and CMES, implemented the intervention, enrolling 15,000 girls. The Population Council, in collaboration with BIDS, evaluated the intervention, focusing on three of the 14 districts to represent a range of initial conditions in terms of poverty, early marriage, and schooling.

In 2001 researchers conducted a baseline survey in 75 intervention and 15 control villages with 6,000 randomly selected married and unmarried boys and girls between ages 13 and 22. A subset of 2,500 girls was successfully reinterviewed in 2003 during a follow-up survey. Three villages were chosen randomly for an in-depth prospective qualitative study.

The baseline survey documented important achievements in rural Bangladesh in educational attainment and health awareness, but it also highlighted areas in need of concerted policy efforts; in particular,
the paucity of livelihood opportunities and the detrimental social con-
sequences of early marriage and childbearing among adolescent girls.
Large differences were found among the three study districts in the pat-
tern of marriage, demands for dowry at marriage, reproductive health,
and schooling of girls. Married adolescent girls were socially isolated and
knew little about health risks and sexuality. Reports of physical abuse
were high among all adolescents, regardless of sex or marital status. On
a positive note, the survey highlighted the important role that economic
incentive schemes have played in retaining poor children—especially
adolescent girls—in school in all three districts. A much narrower gender
gap was observed in the schooling of younger girls and boys compared
with older adolescents, although girls at all socioeconomic levels still
drop out of school when they marry. Dowry remained an important factor
in all three districts, but especially among the wealthiest households and
in more prosperous communities (Amin et al. 2002).

Analyzing program effects

Delayed marriage

Whereas many evaluations estimate the effects of a particular project by
comparing participants to nonparticipants, Council researchers took a
different approach. After comparing project participants with adolescent
girls who did not participate, they realized that these two groups differed
in substantial ways. Participants were usually younger and less likely
to be married and had spent more time in school. To account for these
differences, researchers matched program participants with nonpartici-
pants who had identical or very similar characteristics. Of the 445 partici-
pants included in the survey, 360 were matched with other adolescents
in the same districts (Amin and Suran 2005a).

Although most project participants delayed marriage longer than
did their matched counterparts who did not participate, these findings
were not statistically significant. This result, however, changed when re-
searchers distinguished between matched and unmatched participants.
Whereas matched participants did not delay marriage, unmatched par-
ticipants waited significantly longer to marry. Figure 1 shows the relative
odds for adolescent girls of being married, calculated from hazard ratios
obtained after modeling the duration to marriage.

Compared with matched nonparticipants, only unmatched par-
ticipants exhibited decreased odds of being married. The unmatched
participants were relatively young girls attending school at grade levels
appropriate for their age and living in the poorest district in the sample.

Delayed marriage . . . at a price?

As noted above, dowry was an important factor in all three districts.
Respondents (both participants and nonparticipants) who married at
later ages often paid higher dowries to their husband’s family. Figure 2
shows the mean dowry paid by respondents who married in the interim
between the two surveys. Dowries increased in tandem with age at mar-
riage. Other projects aiming to delay marriage in areas where dowries
are paid should take into account the relationship between marriage
payments and girls’ age, especially among girls from poorer families.
With fewer economic resources, such girls are less able to afford the
increased dowry payments that accompany delayed marriage.

Working for cash

Kishori Abhijan increased both the number of girls working for cash and
the amount of income they earned. These outcomes were most pro-
nounced in programs that included microcredit. Despite concerns about
conflicts between work and schooling, cash work did not lead to school
dropout. Girls who reported working for cash usually spent fewer hours
on schoolwork outside the classroom, however. This result may well
reflect the fact that decisions about school and work are made simulta-
neously. If girls work only during days of the week when school is not in
session, no discernible impact of work on schooling may result.

Schooling

Girls in rural Bangladesh usually continue to attend secondary school
until they marry, and their participation in Kishori Abhijan had no signifi-
cant effect on school dropout rates. Rates of dropout from the project
were more closely tied to marriage than to education. Although the
project did not specifically exclude married girls, most girls were unable

---

Figure 1. Relative odds of marriage among participants and their matched counterparts

Figure 2. Mean dowry payment by age at marriage among respondents married between 2001 and 2003
to continue to participate after marriage because being married usually entails migration to the husband’s village and it places increased restrictions on girls’ mobility.

*Increased health knowledge*

Population Council investigators documented substantive improvements in specific knowledge about health and disease among project participants relative to nonparticipants. Participants were more likely to give accurate answers to questions about transmission of HIV and about aspects of female reproductive biology.

*Reduced social isolation*

Researchers also found that Kishori Abhijan participants had formed a stronger sense of self-worth and connectedness. Participants were more likely to report having friends in the same village and more likely to have traveled outside the village, gone to the movies, visited friends in the village, listened to the radio, watched television, and read the newspaper. Many of these behaviors also changed for nonparticipants, and differences between the two groups of adolescents were not statistically significant. Thus, Kishori Abhijan’s effectiveness in reducing social isolation among both participants and nonparticipants may be one way in which the project’s influence diffused widely throughout the rural districts.

---

**Goals of Kishori Abhijan**

The leaders of Kishori Abhijan set out several goals (Amin, Mahmud, and Huq 2002):

- to increase by at least two years the median age at marriage of girls in the project;
- to increase by at least 30 percent the independent economic activity of girls aged 15–18 years;
- to increase by at least 30 percent girls’ rates of enrollment and retention in primary and secondary schools;
- to increase by 20 percent the primary-school completion rate of adolescent girls; and
- to increase the visibility of adolescent girls as a special-interest group in the media and in national programs and policies.

---

*Lessons learned*

The program significantly increased self-employment and part-time employment opportunities for participating girls of all ages. All participants demonstrated increased knowledge about health, family planning, nutrition, and the causes of disease. They enjoyed greater mobility, as measured by reported activities such as meeting friends, visiting neighbors, and moving about autonomously in the village. For younger girls (aged 12–14) in the poorest district, the program contributed to improved school-enrollment rates and lower marriage rates (Amin and Suran 2005a); however, girls’ schooling continues to be compromised because of early marriage and poverty (Mahmud and Amin 2006).

No substantial differences were observed in outcomes between the different programs run by the two NGOs—BRAC and CMES—suggesting that targeting programs to poor rural girls makes a difference, even if styles of implementation differ.

The response of community members to these programs suggests their readiness for change. Unfortunately, neither program incorporated a specific emphasis on dowry; rural households continued to be impoverished and expressed frustration with the escalation of dowry costs. Yet data from our study showed clearly that dowry does little to improve the lives of girls. Infant girls who paid no dowry were less likely to suffer physical abuse (Amin et al. 2004; Amin and Suran 2005b) than those paying dowry.

The program evaluation suggests that future programs to delay marriage should focus on younger adolescents (aged 12–14) in the poorest families and districts of rural Bangladesh. Because of the persistent and pernicious nature of dowry demands, any effort to delay marriage must also address issues related to marriage transactions.
Expanding the project to reach more girls
The life-skills component of Kishori Abhijan has been scaled up to enroll more than 250,000 girls in 58 districts (http://www.brac.net/content/adolescent-development-programme). An adolescent microcredit initiative funded entirely by BRAC functions as a separate program and covers over 400,000 young women. BRAC has also implemented variants of its adolescent program in Southern Sudan, Tanzania, and Uganda (Islam et al. 2009). To incorporate financial literacy components into these programs, the Population Council has recently piloted a 20-hour financial education program that has shown promising results (http://www.popcouncil.org/projects/307_PromoteFinancialEd.asp). The involvement of the first generation of Kishori Abhijan members as program implementers and mentors in the financial education programs attests to the sustainable and long-term impact of early investments in adolescents.

In a 2008 UNICEF evaluation of child protection interventions, Kishori Abhijan was the third most highly rated intervention for evidence quality, receiving excellent scores on all evaluative measures (UNICEF 2008). The purpose of the evaluation was to gather an authoritative evidence base, indexing best practices in facilitating systemic changes in child protection.
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